

University of New Haven
Digital Commons @ New Haven

Mathematics Faculty Publications

**Mathematics** 

8-2018

# Gradient Ricci Solitons with a Conformal Vector Field

Ramesh Sharma University of New Haven, rsharma@newhaven.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.newhaven.edu/mathematics-facpubs

Part of the Mathematics Commons

### **Publisher Citation**

Sharma, R. (2018). Gradient Ricci solitons with a conformal vector field. Journal of Geometry, 109(2), 33.

#### Comments

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Journal of Geometry*. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00022-018-0439-x. Mathematics Subject Classification 53C25 53C44

### GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS WITH A CONFORMAL VECTOR FIELD

Ramesh Sharma

#### Abstract

We show that a connected gradient Ricci soliton  $(M, g, f, \lambda)$  with constant scalar curvature and admitting a non-homothetic conformal vector field V leaving the potential vector field invariant, is Einstein and the potential function f is constant. For locally conformally flat case and non-homothetic V we show without constant scalar curvature assumption, that f is constant and g has constant curvature.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25,53C44

*Keywords and phrases*: Gradient Ricci soliton, constant scalar curvature, conformal vector field.

# 1 Introduction

Let M denote a smooth n-dimensional manifold, g a Riemannian metric and X a smooth vector field on M, and  $\lambda$  a real constant. Then the system  $(M, g, X, \lambda)$  is said to define a Ricci soliton if

$$L_X g + 2\operatorname{Ric} = 2\lambda g \tag{1}$$

where L denotes the Lie-derivative operator and Ric the Ricci tensor of g. Thus a Ricci soliton is a generalization of an Einstein metric for which X is Killing. The Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady, and expanding according as  $\lambda$  is positive, zero, and negative respectively. If the vector field X is the gradient of a smooth function f, i.e.  $X = \nabla f$ , then  $(M, g, f, \lambda)$  is called a gradient Ricci soliton, in which case the equation (1) becomes

$$\operatorname{Hess} f + \operatorname{Ric} = \lambda g \tag{2}$$

where Hess denotes the Hessian operator with respect to g. An important result of Perelman [9] says that a compact Ricci soliton is gradient. The gradient Ricci soliton is said to be trivial when f is constant and g is Einstein.

For a general Ricci soliton vector field X, we have the following formula (Chow et al [1]):

$$L_X S = 2|\operatorname{Ric}|^2 + \Delta S - 2\lambda S \tag{3}$$

for the scalar curvature S, where  $\Delta = \text{Tr.}(\text{Hess})$  denotes the Laplacian operator of g.

In [3], Fernández-López and García-Río showed that conformally flat gradient Ricci solitons are locally isometric to a warped product of an interval and a real space form. This result was generalized to include the Lorentzian case by Brozos-Vázquez, García-Río and Gavino-Fernández in [2]. We also note that a Riemannian *n*-manifold admitting a maximal  $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ -parameter group of conformal transformations is conformally flat. Therefore it is interesting to examine the effect of the existence of a 1-parameter group of conformal transformations generated by a conformal vector field V on a gradient Ricci soliton. Motivated by this problem, we prove

**Theorem 1** If  $(M, g, f, \lambda)$  is a connected gradient Ricci soliton with constant scalar curvature and admits a non-homothetic conformal vector field V leaving the potential vector field  $\nabla f$  invariant, then g is Einstein and the potential function f is constant.

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 was motivated by a similar result of Jauregui and Wylie [5]: "A gradient Ricci soliton admitting a non-homothetic conformal vector field V that preserves the gradient 1-form df (i.e.  $\nabla_V f$  is constant) is Einstein and f is constant". We note that the hypothesis " $\nabla_V f$  is constant" in the result of Jauregui and Wylie, does not imply the hypothesis "V leaves the potential vector field  $\nabla f$  invariant)" of Theorem 1. For f constant, g is Einstein (scalar curvature is obviously constant) for which Yano and Nagano [12] proved: "A complete Einstein manifold admitting a complete non-homothetic conformal vector field is isometric to a round sphere." However, if only M is complete and V not necessarily complete, then by a result of Kanai [6] (stated also in Kühnel and Rademacher [7]), M is isometric to one of the following spaces:  $S^n$ ,  $E^n$ ,  $H^n$ , the warped product  $R \times_{exp} M_*$ where  $(M_*, g_*)$  is complete and Einstein with  $S_* = -1$ .

**Remark 2.** Constant scalar curvature gradient Ricci Solitons were studied by Petersen and Wylie [10] who showed that a shrinking (respectively,

expanding) gradient Ricci soliton with constant scalar curvature S satisfies  $0 \leq S \leq n\lambda$  (respectively,  $n\lambda \leq S \leq 0$ ). Also, g is flat if S = 0 and Einstein when  $S = n\lambda$ . Fernández-López and García-Río [4] showed that, if an n-dimensional complete gradient Ricci soliton has constant scalar curvature S then  $S \in \{0, \lambda, \dots, (n-1)\lambda, n\lambda\}$ . Thus the problem of classifying gradient Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature is, in general, open.

For the case when V is homothetic, we prove

**Proposition 1** If  $(M, g, f, \lambda)$  is a gradient Ricci soliton with a homothetic vector field V leaving the potential vector field  $\nabla f$  invariant, then either (i) it is a Gaussian soliton, or (ii) V is Killing. In case (ii), either the soliton is steady or V preserves f.

A conformal vector field V on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is defined by

$$L_V g = 2\sigma g \tag{4}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a smooth function on M. V is homothetic when  $\sigma$  is constant, and is Killing when  $\sigma = 0$ . Denoting the Riemannian connection as well as the gradient operator of g by  $\nabla$  we have the following formula:

$$(L_V \nabla)(Y, Z) = (Y\sigma)Z + (Z\sigma)Y - g(Y, Z)\nabla\sigma$$
(5)

where Y, Z denote arbitrary smooth vector fields on M. We will follow this notation in the next section.

## 2 Proofs Of Theorem 1 and Proposition 1

**Proof Of Theorem 1.** A straightforward computation using the definition (2) provides

$$R(Y,Z)\nabla f + (\nabla_Y Q)Z - (\nabla_Z Q)Y = 0$$
(6)

where R denotes the curvature tensor and Q the Ricci tensor of type (1,1) such that  $\operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) = g(QY, Z)$ . Let  $(e_i)$   $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$  be a local orthonormal frame on (M, g). Substituting  $e_i$  for Y in (6), taking inner product with  $e_i$ , summing over i, and using the twice contracted second Bianchi identity:  $\operatorname{div}(Q) = \frac{1}{2}dS$  yields the known formula

$$Q(\nabla f) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla S \tag{7}$$

Next, differentiating  $|\nabla f|^2$  along an arbitrary vector field, and using equations (2) and (7) gives the known formula

$$|\nabla f|^2 + S - 2\lambda f = c \tag{8}$$

where c is a real constant. As S is constant by hypothesis, equation (7) reduces to

$$Q(\nabla f) = 0. \tag{9}$$

At this point, Lie differentiating the relation:  $df = g(\nabla f, .)$  along the conformal vector field V, noting that Lie derivative commutes with exterior derivative d, and using the hypothesis  $L_V \nabla f = 0$ , we find  $d(L_V f) = 2\sigma df$ . Applying d on it and using the Poincar'e lemma:  $d^2 = 0$  we obtain

$$(d\sigma) \wedge (df) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Let us now express equation (2) in the form

$$\nabla_Y \nabla f + QY = \lambda Y$$

Taking its Lie derivative along V, using the commutation formula (see [11])

$$L_V \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y L_V Z - \nabla_{[V,Y]} Z = (L_V \nabla)(Y,Z)$$

with the choice  $Z = \nabla f$ , along with the hypothesis  $L_V \nabla f = 0$  and equations (2) and (5) yields

$$(L_V Q)Y = -g(\nabla f, \nabla \sigma)Y.$$
(11)

Now we substitute  $e_i$  for Y in (11), take inner product with  $e_i$ , sum over i, and use the constant scalar curvature hypothesis in order to obtain

$$g(\nabla f, \nabla \sigma) = 0 \tag{12}$$

The equations (10) and (12) show that

$$(d\sigma \wedge df)(\nabla \sigma, \nabla f) = |\nabla \sigma|^2 |\nabla f|^2 = 0$$

i.e.

$$\nabla \sigma ||\nabla f| = 0. \tag{13}$$

As  $\sigma$  is not constant on M,  $\nabla \sigma \neq 0$  on an open subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of M. So, from (13),  $\nabla f = 0$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Now the *g*-trace of (2) is  $\Delta f + S = n\lambda$  on M. Since

 $\Delta f = 0$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ , we have  $S = n\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ . By hypothesis, S is constant on Mand M is connected, and therefore  $S = n\lambda$  on M. Using equation (3) with  $X = \nabla f$  gives  $|\operatorname{Ric}|^2 = \lambda S$ . Hence the identity:  $|\operatorname{Ric} -\frac{S}{n}g|^2 = |\operatorname{Ric}|^2 - \frac{S^2}{n}$ provides  $\operatorname{Ric} = \lambda g$ , i.e. g is Einstein. Thus equation (2) reduces to  $\nabla \nabla f = 0$ , which implies that  $|\nabla f|$  is constant. As  $\nabla f = 0$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  and M is connected, we conclude that  $\nabla f = 0$  on M, and so f is constant on M, completing the proof.

**Proof Of Proposition 1.** Here we have equation (4) with constant  $\sigma$ . Writing equation (2) as

$$L_{\nabla f}g + 2\operatorname{Ric} = 2\lambda g,$$

Lie-differentiating it along V and noting that a homothetic vector field preserves the Ricci tensor we get

$$L_V L_{\nabla f} g = 4\lambda \sigma g$$

Using the identity  $L_Y L_Z - L_Z L_Y = L_{[Y,Z]}$  and hypothesis  $[V, \nabla f] = 0$  in the above equation we find

$$\sigma(L_{\nabla f}g - 2\lambda g) = 0$$

Hence, either (i)  $L_{\nabla f}g - 2\lambda g = 0$ , or (ii)  $\sigma = 0$ . Equation in (i) is basically  $\nabla \nabla f = \lambda g$ , and by a result (Theorem 2, IB) of Okumura [8]), implies that g is flat and hence is a Gaussian soliton. In case (ii), V is Killing and hence  $L_V S = 0$ . Also, Lie-differentiating (8) along V and noting that  $L_V \nabla f = 0$  and  $L_V g = 0$  imply  $L_V |\nabla f|^2 = 0$  we find that either  $\lambda = 0$  or V preserves f. This completes the proof.

## **3** Conformally Flat Case

Finally, taking into account the result of [3] for a locally conformally flat gradient Ricci soliton as stated in Section 1, we examine this case with the hypothesis  $L_V \nabla f = 0$  of Theorem 1, and without constant scalar curvature assumption and prove

**Proposition 2** If  $(M, g, f, \lambda)$  is a locally conformally flat gradient Ricci soliton and admits a non-homothetic conformal vector field V leaving with the potential vector field  $\nabla f$  invariant, then f is constant and (M, g) has constant curvature.

**Proof.** If f is constant, then we are done. So,  $\nabla f \neq 0$  on a neighborhood of some point in M. By a result of [3] we know that (M, g) is locally the warped product of an interval I and an (n-1) dimensional manifold N of constant curvature c with metric  $g = dt^2 + \psi^2(t)\gamma$ , where t is the coordinate on I and  $\psi$  is the warping function. Also, f is a function of t. The gradient Ricci soliton equation (2) yields (as mentioned in [2])

$$\ddot{f} = \lambda + (n-1)\frac{\ddot{\psi}}{\psi} \tag{14}$$

$$\psi \dot{\psi} \dot{f} = \lambda \psi^2 - (n-2)c + \psi \ddot{\psi} + (n-2)(\dot{\psi})^2 \tag{15}$$

where an over-dot denotes partial differentiation with respect to t. Let us decompose the conformal vector field V on M as  $V = \alpha \partial_t + U^k \partial_k$  where  $\alpha$ and  $U^k$  depend on t as well as the coordinates  $x^i$  on N. The components of conformal Killing equation (4) provide

$$\dot{\alpha} = \sigma \tag{16}$$

$$\partial_i \alpha = -(\partial_t U^k) g_{ik} \tag{17}$$

$$L_U g_{ij} = 2(\sigma - \alpha \frac{\psi}{\psi}) g_{ij} \tag{18}$$

where  $U = U^k \partial_k$ . The hypothesis:  $L_V \nabla f = [V, \nabla f] = 0$  shows

$$\dot{f}\dot{\alpha} = \alpha \ddot{f} \tag{19}$$

$$\partial_t U^k = 0.$$

Hence  $U^k = U^k(x^i)$  and equation (17) implies  $\alpha = \alpha(t)$ . Equation (19) integrates to  $\alpha = \dot{f}$  (up to a constant multiple which can be taken 1). Consequently, (16) assumes the form

$$\sigma = \ddot{f} \tag{20}$$

Equation (18) shows that U is homothetic on  $(N, \gamma)$ , i.e.  $L_U \gamma = 2k\gamma$  where k is constant such that

$$\ddot{f} - \dot{f}\frac{\psi}{\psi} = k. \tag{21}$$

Since  $(N, \gamma)$  has constant curvature c,  $\gamma \operatorname{Ric} = c(n-2)\gamma$ . Lie-differentiating it along U provides ck = 0. This gives rise to two cases (i) c = 0, (ii) k = 0. For case (i) equations (14), (15) and (21) give us

$$\frac{\ddot{\psi}}{\psi} - \frac{(\dot{\psi})^2}{\psi^2} = \frac{k}{n-2}$$
(22)

which integrates to  $\frac{\dot{\psi}}{\psi} = \frac{k}{n-2}t + a$  and further to  $\psi = e^{\frac{k}{n-2}t^2 + at+b}$  where a, b are arbitrary constants. Using (22) in (15) and differentiating with respect to t we get

$$\ddot{f} = \frac{k}{n-2} [n-1 - (\lambda + \frac{k}{n-2})(\frac{k}{n-2}t + a)^{-2}]$$
(23)

Comparing it with (14) we get the polynomial equation

$$(n-1)(Kt+a)^4 + \lambda(Kt+a)^2 + K(\lambda+K) = 0.$$

where  $K = \frac{k}{n-2}$ . The above equation implies that k = 0. Hence (23) reduces to  $\ddot{f} = 0$ , and from (16) we get  $\sigma = 0$  contradicting the non-homotheticity of V. Now we examine the case (ii) k = 0 for which (21) integrates to  $\dot{f} = \psi$ . Using this in (14) we have

$$\ddot{\psi} = \frac{\psi}{n-1}(\dot{\psi} - \lambda) \tag{24}$$

Combining this with (15) provides  $\psi^2 \dot{\psi} = \lambda \psi^2 + (n-1)(\dot{\psi})^2 - (n-1)c$ . Differentiating it with respect to t and using (24) gives  $\psi^2(\dot{\psi} - \lambda) = 0$ . But  $\psi \neq 0$  for any t (as g is positive-definite), and so  $\dot{\psi} = \lambda$ . As already found,  $\dot{f} = \psi$ . Thus  $\ddot{f} = \lambda$  and so from (20) we conclude that  $\sigma = \lambda$  contradicting the non-homotheticity of V. This completes the proof.

# 4 Concluding Remark

The assumption  $[V, \nabla f] = 0$  in Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 is needed in the proofs, and is trivially satisfied for constant f in which case g is Einstein.

# 5 Acknowledgment

The author is thankful to the referee for a valuable question.

# References

- B. Chow, S.-C. Chu, D. Glickenstein, C. Guenther, J. Isenberg, T. Ivey, D. Knopf, P. Lu, F. Luo and L. Ni, *The Ricci flow: Techniques and Applications, Part I. Geometric Aspects*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **135**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2007.
- [2] M. Brozos-Vázquez, E. García-Río and S. Gavino-Fernández, Locally conformally flat Lorentzian gradient Ricci solitons, J. Geom. Anal. 23 (2013), 1196–1212.
- [3] M. Fernández-López and E. García-Río, A note on locally conformally flat gradient Ricci solitons, Geom. Dedicata 168 (2014), 1–7.
- [4] M. Fernández-López and E. García-Río, On gradient Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016), 369–378.
- [5] J.L. Jauregui and William Wylie, Conformal diffeomorphisms of gradient Ricci solitons and generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds, J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015), 668–708.
- [6] M. Kanai, On a differential equation characterizing a Riemannian structure of a manifold, Tokyo J. Math. 6 (1983), 143–151.
- [7] W. Kühnel and H.-B. Rademacher, Conformal vector fields on pseudo-Riemannian spaces, Diff. Geom. Appln. 7 (1997), 237–250.
- [8] M. Okumura, Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117(1965), 251–275.
- [9] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, Preprint, http:arXiv.org abs math.DG/02111159.
- [10] P. Petersen and W. Wylie, On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons, Geom. Topol. 14 (2010), 2277–2300.
- [11] K. Yano, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.
- [12] K. Yano and T. Nagano, Einstein spaces admitting a one-parameter group of conformal transformations, Ann. Math. (2) 69 (1959), 451– 461.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAVEN, WEST HAVEN, CT 06516, USA

E-mail address: rsharma@newhaven.edu